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Purpose of review
This review summarizes recent developments in maternal mortality surveillance, and draws from recent confidential mortality reports to suggest ways the anesthesiologist can contribute to safer systems of care.

Recent findings
Maternal mortality rates appear to be static in much of the developed world, but are increasing in the USA. While improvements in ascertainment explain some of these trends, deferred childbearing, increasing population rates of coexisting disease, multifetal pregnancy, and emerging infections also contribute. Risk is markedly elevated among certain racial and ethnic minorities, due to a confluence of factors that includes behavior, biology, environmental exposures, social circumstances, and the quality of clinical care. Approximately 30–40% of maternal deaths are potentially preventable, and recent maternal mortality reviews suggest specific strategies that may improve outcomes for women suffering from the most common causes of death: cardiovascular disease, hemorrhage, hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, venous thromboembolism, infection, and other medical conditions.

Summary
A growing number of countries and organizations have established systems for comprehensive maternal death surveillance and confidential review to ensure that each death counts and that the lessons learned are widely disseminated to improve future maternal safety.
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INTRODUCTION
In 2000, the United Nations announced a goal to reduce maternal mortality by 75% between 1990 and 2015. Whereas global progress has been encouraging (with a 34% reduction worldwide from 546 000 maternal deaths in 1990 to 358 000 in 2008) [1*], improvements in the developed world have been limited. Rates have actually risen in several countries, most dramatically in the USA [1*–3*]. As the 2015 deadline approaches, a growing number of countries have established systems for comprehensive maternal death surveillance and confidential enquiries to ensure that each death counts and that the lessons learned are widely disseminated to improve future maternal safety. This review aims to summarize recent developments in maternal mortality surveillance, and to draw from recent confidential review reports to suggest ways the anesthesiologist can contribute to safer systems of care.

WHICH CASES COUNT?
For the purpose of international comparison, the World Health Organization (WHO) defines maternal death as ‘the death of a woman while pregnant or within 42 days of the end of pregnancy, from any cause related to or aggravated by the pregnancy or its management’ [1*]. In much of the developed world, the official count is generated from death certificates, based on International Classification of Disease (ICD) codes that designate an obstetric cause of death. Traditional surveillance focused on direct deaths – ‘those resulting from obstetric complications of the pregnant state from interventions, omissions, incorrect treatment, or from a chain of events resulting from the above’ [1*]. Recent evidence suggests that the majority of deaths in the developed world are actually indirect deaths ‘resulting from previous existing disease or disease that developed during pregnancy and not
due to direct obstetric causes, but aggravated by the physiologic effects of pregnancy’ [4**.,5**,6].

Indirect deaths may be missed if nonobstetric codes populate the death certificate, especially when death occurs early in gestation or late in the postpartum period. Compared with previous classification systems, ICD-10 improved ascertainment of indirect deaths because it added a specific code for late maternal death (i.e., after 42 days and up to a full year after the end of pregnancy), and expanded codes for indirect deaths. Changes in coding and ascertainment are believed to explain the entire increase in the Canadian Maternal Mortality Ratio (MMR) from 4.7 in 1996–1998 to 7.2 in 2005–2007 [7]. In the USA, the transition from ICD-9 to ICD-10 increased case ascertainment by 27.5% from 7.5 during the years 1995–1998 to 9.6 for the years 1999–2002 (Table 1) [8].

Maternal mortality surveillance is fragmented in the USA where the certification of death is the legal responsibility of individual states. To improve ascertainment, the US Center for Disease Control established the Pregnancy Mortality Surveillance System (PMSS) in 1986. The PMSS recommends mandatory reporting, electronic linkage between women’s death certificates and birth certificates, a pregnancy check-box on the death certificate, and a two-stage ascertainment procedure to first identify all pregnancy-associated deaths that occur during pregnancy and up to a full year after the end of pregnancy, and then to manually review all available records to distinguish pregnancy-related deaths from those that appear to be coincidental. Two-stage surveillance procedures have been estimated to increase ascertainment of maternal deaths by 19–90% in various regions [10]. The pregnancy-related mortality ratio (PRMR) is larger than the MMR because the ascertainment procedures are more comprehensive, and because the PRMR includes both early maternal deaths (e.g., during pregnancy and up to 42 days after the end of pregnancy) and late maternal deaths (Table 1).

A ‘pregnancy check-box’ on the death certificate increases ascertainment of maternal mortality by approximately 60% [11**]. The 2003 revision of the US Standard Certificate of Death introduced questions about pregnancy status (Table 2). By
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MMR, maternal mortality rate; NCHS, National Center for Health Statistics; PMSS, Pregnancy Mortality Surveillance System; PRMR, pregnancy-related mortality ratio. MMR is limited to those deaths occurring within 42 days of delivery, based on obstetric codes documented on the maternal death certificate. Data from [5**,9].

**KEY POINTS**

- Maternal mortality is increasing in the USA, due, in part, to not only improved ascertainment, but also delayed childbearing, increasing rates of coexisting disease, especially hypertension and cardiovascular disease, and emerging infections.
- Cardiovascular disease is now the leading cause of maternal mortality in both the USA and the UK.
- Attention to venous thromboembolism prophylaxis appears to be one of the most effective strategies to reduce maternal mortality in the developed world.
2005, 17 states adopted the standard death certificate pregnancy questions (representing 29% of all live-births nationally). In these states the 2005 MMR was 19.7 deaths per 100,000 live-births and the PRMR was 22.3 [11**]. The US National Center for Health Statistics declined to publish the 2008 MMR, given concerns that only 31 states (representing 57% of all births) had added the standard pregnancy check-box to the state death certificate [12,13]. All but two states (North Carolina and Mississippi) are scheduled to adopt the standard pregnancy questions by 2013 [13].

The MMR in the USA appears to be increasing above and beyond improvements in ascertainment [14**]. Even among states that did not add any questions about pregnancy to the death certificate, the MMR increased 23% between 2003 and 2005 [11**]. Moreover, increases in severe maternal morbidity mirror the increases in maternal mortality [15–19]. Specifically, rates of peripartum mechanical ventilation, adult respiratory distress syndrome, renal failure, shock, pulmonary embolism, and blood transfusion all increased in the USA between 1998 and 2005 [17].

**WHY DO WOMEN DIE?**

The leading cause of maternal death in both the USA and the UK is currently cardiovascular disease. Table 3 presents cause-specific mortality ratios for five countries. Given the rarity of maternal death, and variation in ascertainment and categorization procedures, comparisons between countries are not necessarily valid. Nevertheless, the table provides general information as to which causes most frequently lead to death. These appear to be hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, hemorrhage, venous thromboembolism, infection, cardiovascular disease, and other medical disease. Anesthesia-related maternal death is relatively rare, with airway disasters the leading cause of deaths from general anesthesia, and high neuraxial block the leading cause of death from neuraxial anesthesia [4**,5**,6,22**,23].

**WHY ARE RATES INCREASING?**

Delayed childbearing plays a significant role in increasing maternal risk. Between 1980 and 2003, the percentage of mothers aged 35 years or more increased from 5 to 14.2% in the USA [24], and from 5.2 to 20% in the Netherlands [2*]. Advancing maternal age increases risk for obesity, coexisting disease, hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, anamnestic fluid embolism, venous thromboembolism, cesarean delivery, and maternal hemorrhage [5**,25–28].

Multifetal pregnancy is associated with both advanced maternal age and maternal mortality from a variety of causes, including anamnestic fluid embolism, venous thromboembolism, hemorrhage, hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, infection, cardiomyopathy, and indirect deaths [29]. The twin birth rate increased 70% in the USA between 1980 and 2009, from 1.9 to 3.3% of all births [30*]. One-third of this increase may be explained by spontaneous twinning among older women; the remainder is attributed to assisted reproductive technology [30*]. In 2009, more than 20% of deliveries by women aged 45 years and older was for a twin [30*].

Both chronic hypertension and pregnancy-associated hypertension have become more common [31*,32], and both increase risk of maternal death, particularly from preeclampsia and cerebrovascular complications. Whereas chronic hypertension alone increases risk for maternal death during the admission for delivery [adjusted odds ratio (aOR) 6.2], the combination with chronic renal disease (aOR 27.0) or collagen vascular disease (aOR 88.8) is particularly detrimental [31*].

Population levels of obesity increased through the 1980s and 1990s, but appear to have stabilized in the USA at 32% of all women aged 20–39 years [25], and women enter pregnancy with comparable rates of obesity [33]. Obesity is associated with thromboembolism, cardiovascular disorders, hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, postpartum uterine atony, and failure to rescue from severe maternal morbidity [4**,34*,35*].

Rates of cardiovascular disease in pregnancy continue to increase, specifically rates of congenital heart disease, cardiac dysrhythmias, cardiomyopathy, and congestive heart failure [36]. Whereas 1.4% of the delivering population in the USA has some form of chronic heart disease, this population experiences 28% of in-hospital maternal deaths [36*,37*]. Adult congenital heart disease has

---

**Table 2. Recommended standard pregnancy check-box, US Standard Certificate of Death, 2003 Revision**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If female:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not pregnant within past year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnant at the time of death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not pregnant, but pregnant within 42 days of death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not pregnant, but pregnant 42 days to 1 year before death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown if pregnant within past year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

increased 43% among delivering women in the USA, and more than 40% of these women deliver in community hospitals rather than tertiary centers [38].

Emerging infections contribute to the burden of maternal mortality. Globally, HIV/AIDS accounts for about 10% of all maternal deaths [3*]. In the UK, genital tract sepsis, primarily attributed to ascending group A streptococcal infection, emerged as the leading cause of direct maternal death in 2006–2008 [4**]. Whether this trend may be attributed to increasing virulence or a larger population prevalence of risk factors that increase susceptibility is unknown.

Cesarean deliveries in the USA increased 60% between 1996 and 2009, from 20.7 to 32.9% [32]. Cesarean delivery has been associated with maternal death in observational studies [39]. Mechanisms include surgical hemorrhage, venous thromboembolism, perioperative infection, abnormal placentation in subsequent pregnancies, and anesthetic complications. Nevertheless, chart reviews of individual cases and confidential enquiry reports suggest that deaths directly attributed to cesarean delivery are relatively rare, accounting for 1.3% of maternal deaths in the Netherlands [2*], and 4.2% of deaths during the hospitalization for delivery in a large hospital network in the USA [40]. This last review actually suggested that in 17% of cases, cesarean delivery or earlier cesarean delivery may have potentially saved the mother’s life [40].

**IS RISK INCREASED FOR MINORITY WOMEN?**

In the USA, African–American women face a PRMR that is 3.5-fold higher than white women. Risk increases disproportionately as black women age, such that the PRMR for black women over age 39 years is 166 per 100 000 live-births [5**]. Racial disparities in maternal mortality and severe maternal morbidity persist after controlling for differences in maternal age, socioeconomic status, and medical comorbidities [14*,19,41,42].

Evidence of racial and ethnic disparities is not limited to the USA. In the Netherlands, 29% of
women who died were immigrants, and substandard care was found to be more frequent in this population. In the UK, maternal mortality rates are increased among asylum seekers, women of Black African and Black Caribbean origin, women from disadvantaged socioeconomic backgrounds, and non-English speakers [4\textsuperscript{**},35\textsuperscript{*}]. Significant regional variation has been identified in France, with increased risk noted for women delivering in Paris (aOR 1.6) and the overseas districts (aOR 3.5) compared with the rest of continental France [43\textsuperscript{*}]. Differences in behavior, biology, environmental conditions, social circumstances, and the quality of clinical care can all contribute to disparities in outcomes for racial and ethnic minorities [4\textsuperscript{**},43\textsuperscript{*},44\textsuperscript{*}]. Population rates of chronic hypertension and obesity are significantly higher in African–American women than white women [25]. Whereas rates of preeclampsia, eclampsia, abruptio, and postpartum hemorrhage are relatively comparable between white and black Americans, the case-fatality ratios for all of these conditions are four-fold higher in African–American women [45]. Black African women in the UK also appear to be particularly susceptible to severe preeclampsia, and preeclampsia-related mortality.

ARE MATERNAL DEATHS PREVENTABLE?

Whether a death could have been prevented is one of the key questions of mortality reviews, because the answer can be used to prioritize changes in clinical policy and health system improvements. Whereas less than optimal medical care can be identified in the majority of maternal deaths, only 30–40% are considered potentially preventable [4\textsuperscript{**},20\textsuperscript{**},46\textsuperscript{*}]. The highest rates of preventability are noted among ethnic minorities, and among deaths attributed to hemorrhage, hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, and sepsis or infection.

NINE LESSONS FROM MATERNAL MORTALITY REVIEWS

The final section outlines a sample of recommendations for care from recent maternal mortality reviews.

Venous thromboembolism prophylaxis

Following the publication of national guidelines for peripartum thromboprophylaxis, the rate of death from venous thromboembolism in the UK declined almost 60% from 1.94 per 100 000 maternities in 2003–2005 to 0.79 in 2006–2008 [4\textsuperscript{**}]. The Hospital Corporation of America introduced universal perioperative pneumatic compression devices for all women undergoing cesarean delivery in 2007, and the risk of death due to postcesarean venous thromboembolism declined from 1.5 per 100 000 cesareans in 2001–2006 to 0.5 in 2008–2010 [47]. The American College of Gynecologists now recommends mechanical thromboprophylaxis for all women undergoing cesarean delivery who are not already receiving pharmacologic prophylaxis [48]. Pneumatic compression devices should be used postoperatively until the patient is mobile and any anticoagulation therapy is resumed. This practice bulletin also provides risk-stratified dosing recommendations for pharmacologic prophylaxis with unfractionated heparin or low-molecular-weight heparin for women with additional risk factors for thromboembolism, most importantly, prior thrombosis or known thrombophilia [48]. Obese women need weight-adjusted dosing to ensure effective pharmacologic thromboprophylaxis [4\textsuperscript{**}].

Hypertensive crisis

Systolic blood pressure above 160 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure above 110 mmHg constitutes a hypertensive crisis in a pregnant woman, and requires urgent treatment to minimize risk for cerebral hemorrhage [2\textsuperscript{*},4\textsuperscript{**},49\textsuperscript{*}]. Intravenous labetalol, intravenous hydralazine, and oral nifedipine can each be effective, and protocols are available to guide initial therapy while awaiting physician arrival at the bedside [49\textsuperscript{*}]. The combination of magnesium sulfate and antihypertensive therapy can lead to abrupt onset of hypotension and cardiovascular arrest [4\textsuperscript{**}].

Shortness of breath, orthopnea, tachypnea, or wheezing

Although shortness of breath with exercise is a normal pregnancy symptom, feeling short of breath at rest is not. Critical diagnoses to identify include infection, pulmonary edema, pulmonary embolism, and aortic dissection. Wheezing can be the primary sign of pulmonary edema or pulmonary embolism. Ignoring tachypnea and misattributing respiratory symptoms to asthma, anemia, panic attacks, or chest infection were common mistakes in maternal cardiac deaths in the UK [4\textsuperscript{**}].

Chest or intrascapular pain

In a clinically stable pregnant patient reporting acute chest or intrascapular pain, three conditions must be excluded as soon as possible: pulmonary...
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embolism, myocardial infarction, and vascular catastrophe [4**,50]. An expeditious work-up includes serial 12-lead electrocardiogram and cardiac enzymes, chest X-ray, and computed tomography (CT) angiography with or without additional diagnostic tests to rule out pulmonary embolism and aortic dissection [49**,50]. Nondiagnostic testing can delay definitive diagnosis and appropriate therapy.

Cardiovascular disease
Given the potential for cardiovascular decompensation associated with the normal physiologic changes of pregnancy, women with known cardiovascular disease or a history of peripartum cardiomyopathy need periodic consultation with a cardiologist with expertise in the care of women with heart disease in pregnancy, even when care is otherwise conducted in the community [4**,49**]. These visits should establish baseline cardiovascular function, periodically evaluate response to the physiologic demands of pregnancy, and educate the woman about the signs and symptoms that could indicate deterioration. Antepartum anesthesiology consultations can provide the opportunity to verify whether a recent echocardiogram is available or indicated, and to coordinate with the patient, obstetrician, and cardiologist to develop a detailed delivery plan. Specific factors that predict cardiovascular decompensation in pregnancy or the peripartum period include prior cardiac events or arrhythmia, poor functional class, cyanosis, left heart obstruction, left-ventricular systolic dysfunction, decreased subpulmonary ejection fraction, pulmonary hypertension, and pulmonary regurgitation [38**,51]. Serum B-type natriuretic protein level greater than 100 pg/ml may suggest early heart failure in pregnant women [52,53].

Sepsis
Given a number of cases in which the early signs of sepsis were missed, the Saving Mothers’ Lives report from the UK suggested the following criteria to detect impending sepsis in pregnancy and the peripartum period: temperature above 38°C, sustained tachycardia greater than 100 beats per minute, or tachypnea greater than 20 breaths per minute, particularly in the setting of abdominal or chest pain, diarrhea, vomiting, reduced or absent fetal movements, absent fetal heart tones, significant vaginal discharge, postpartum uterine tenderness, costovertebral angle tenderness, or a general appearance of unwellness [4**]. Drawing from the Surviving Sepsis Guidelines, this report recommends early broad-spectrum antibiotics (within the first hour of recognizing severe sepsis), source control within 6 h of presentation, fluid resuscitation to target a central venous pressure of at least 8 mmHg (≥12 mmHg in ventilated patients), and vasopressors (starting with norepinephrine 0.1 mcg/kg/min) to maintain mean arterial pressure of at least 65 mmHg [4**,54].

Postpartum hemorrhage
Postpartum hemorrhage is repeatedly considered the category of death that is most likely to be preventable [21*,46*]. The state of California produced an obstetric hemorrhage toolkit to guide system improvements to prevent and respond to major obstetric hemorrhage [55**]. The recommendations center on a set of structured routine assessments, and early intervention to reduce the risk of denial and delay. At least one California hospital has reported improvements in its rates of major hemorrhage, disseminated intravascular coagulopathy, and unit-wide blood product consumption, as a result of implementing these system improvements [56*].

Multiple reviews now recommend that women with abnormal placentation and those who decline blood products deliver in a tertiary setting [4**,46*,49**]. Whereas interventional radiology techniques can be an effective prophylactic procedure to limit hemorrhage for the patient with known abnormal placentation, radiology consultation is not an appropriate treatment to rescue women with uncontrolled postpartum bleeding. These women need aggressive hemostatic and volume resuscitation while the obstetrician deploys surgical interventions to control the source of bleeding [49**].

Structured monitoring protocols
Delays in diagnosis and treatment have been repeatedly identified, particularly following deaths from exsanguination and sepsis. Early warning scoring systems based on vital sign triggers have been proposed to improve recognition of women with impending critical illness [4**,6]. A recent prospective evaluation in a delivery center in the UK suggests that the Modified Early Obstetric Warning Score (MEOWS) is 89% sensitive, with a positive predictive value of 39%, and a negative predictive value of 98% to predict severe obstetric morbidity [57*]. Studies that use nonobstetric MEWS, or that attempt to predict only the most severe outcomes, identify a lower positive predictive value [58*]. But even a positive predictive value as low as 5% may be useful to direct physician attention and to guide nurses to increase intensity of monitoring.
Improving care for women with high-risk conditions

Increasing numbers of women with pre-existing medical conditions are becoming pregnant, such that the majority of near-miss morbidity and mortality events are concentrated in less than 12% of the delivering population [41]. Prepregnancy counseling and expert multidisciplinary care during the antenatal, intrapartum, and postpartum periods have been proposed to improve outcomes for women with pre-existing medical illness [59]. Whether an individual woman would benefit from triage to a tertiary facility depends on the difference in resources and the risk of serious physiologic decompensation.

CONCLUSION

A maternal death can be one of the most devastating outcomes in all of medicine. Individual cases often follow complex trajectories that reflect the interaction of pre-existing medical conditions and other risk factors, unexpected complications, and lost opportunities to alter the fatal course of events. Even though the cases can be widely disparate, systematic reviews afford the opportunity to identify current event rates and trends and derive lessons to improve future care.
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